

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 3, 1999

LB 382

SENATOR LANDIS: No, we do say "register" and we say "attend".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, there may be no money expended by any participant at the convention itself as a condition to attending.

SENATOR LANDIS: That is possible. You could have a conference for which somebody had no attendance fee, somebody waived an attendance fee, sometimes speakers are allowed to attend a conference with...and they speak but they don't pay the normal attendance fee, they would be registrants.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, in that case, how would we arrive at the multiplier, if nothing had to be spent for attending the conference?

SENATOR LANDIS: An economic metric...econometric model, based on the length of time and stay that somebody is in a community, is often times used as a way to initiate an analysis of how much...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...food and that kind of thing have gone on.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Landis, your light is on next.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. Let me just finish that sentence for a moment. If we establish that somebody is in the community for three days, you use that three days as analysis for an econometric model for how much likely transactions there are. Let me finish, because we're now on my time, which is rare. But Senator Chambers seems to be trying to exact a statement of like intent or creating a record out of Senator Raikes' remarks, and Ron handles himself terrifically well, but remember that he's a no vote on this. So it's hard to construct (laugh) a...the intent of the committee out of a dissenter. So, let me just say two or three things. First, with respect to the majority language why it's crystal clear. It takes three votes of the board to do anything here. And I look at that and it's